

Berkeley Energy Commission Minutes
Community Choice Aggregation Governance Subcommittee
Special Meeting
Monday, August 14, 2006

1. Call to Order and Roll Call
The meeting was called to order by Commissioner Miller at 6:06 p.m.
Present: Abrams, Grimes, Miller, Murtishaw
Guest: Tom Guarino, PG&E

2. Public Comments and Announcements
None.

3. Discussion of Issues Related to Governance of Community Choice Aggregation

The CCA program must strive for the most unified structure. There would be a single CCA with decisions made and applied to all cities on a common basis. E.g. there would be a uniform portfolio with common rate structure, the percent and mix of renewable/sustainable energy. The Board would consist of an elected official from each city. The elected official would have one vote and it would take a 100% affirmative vote to approve major policy and budgetary decisions. This would force each city to negotiate and compromise on major issues and assure that the needs and concerns of the smallest city would be addressed. Each elected official would have an alternate who could vote in the absence of the elected official. Two staff members from each city could attend meetings in an advisory capacity to provide expertise and consultation but not be voting members. The elected officials would make the policy decisions and set policy. The participating cities' elected governing officials/bodies would ratify policy, rate structure and changes, portfolio mix, procurement contracts, contracts, annual budget indebtedness, personnel salaries and contracts. Decisions requiring public input and hearings would go through the governing body of each city and related commissions. Guiding principles, purposes, policies and mission statement would be in a preamble to the by-laws and not part of the governance. E.g. maximize renewable portfolio while maintaining rates at a benchmark, such as PG&E rates. Operational decisions would be made by the CCA Board members and the General Manager. Contracts and expenditures up to an amount designated by the governing bodies would not require approval. Costs and benefits would be allocated /pro-rated to each city based on kwh contracted and used and mix of renewables.

See notations on Community Choice Aggregation Governance Issues and Options document August 1, 2006

4. Adjournment 8:15 p.m.