




Office of the City Manager

ACTION CALENDAR

January 29, 2008

To:  Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From:  Phil Kamlarz, City Manager

Submitted by: Claudette Ford, Director of Public Works

Subject: 2008 Alameda Countywide Transportation Plan and 2009 Update of Regional Transportation Plan 2035

RECOMMENDATION

Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to submit a list of projects and programs, consistent with City Council direction as advised by the Transportation Commission, to the 2008 Alameda Countywide Transportation Plan for submission to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission's 2009 update of the Regional Transportation Plan 2035.

FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION

City Council's project prioritization will provide direction to the City Manager and the City's Alameda County Congestion Management Agency (ACCMA) Board Representative Kriss Worthington to negotiate the final 2008 Alameda Countywide Transportation Plan (CTP), and, by extension, the 2009 update of the Regional Transportation Plan 2035 (RTP), adopted by the Metropolitan Transportation Commissioner (MTC). Mayor Bates serves on the Metropolitan Transportation Commission.

Although inclusion in the CTP/RTP does not guarantee funding, only projects and programs that are included in the CTP/RTP will qualify for most State and federal transportation funds. (The RTP, however, does not govern all transportation funds; federal earmarks, Air District grants, and even some federal, State and regional grants do not require a project to be included in the RTP.) The Project Funding Requested in the CTP acts as a "cap" or maximum amount that can be allocated from State and federal transportation funds to a project. This maximum can only be changed by an amendment to the CTP.

The City's Project List indicates a local intention to identify sufficient funds, both local and external, to carry out the project. However, it is not required to identify any matching funds for these projects at this time. As transportation funds become available, generally through public Calls for Projects, match requirements will be specified. City staff must continue to seek Council approval for all grant applications, consideration of which includes identification of required matching funds.

### CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS

Every four years, the City of Berkeley submits new projects, updates existing projects and may elect to support other agencies' requests for funds within the CTP. Upon ACCMA Board approval, the CTP is submitted to MTC for inclusion in the Regional Transportation Plan 2035. MTC makes the final decision on the projects included in the RTP.

To be eligible for State and federal transportation funding, the City of Berkeley must submit transportation projects and programs to be considered for adoption by ACCMA by February 15, 2008, to enable ACCMA submittal to MTC by March 5, 2008.

City staff has developed a recommended Project List for Council consideration (Exhibit A). The Transportation Commission has recommended a revised Project List to Council (Exhibit B). In Fall 2007, City staff had submitted a preliminary 2008 CTP Project list to ACCMA consisting of 15 projects requesting \$165 million, which was derived from the previous CTP, adopted local plans, Council Special projects and critical initiatives, and other sources. The Alameda County Planning Area 1, which includes Berkeley's initial project list, is attached (Exhibit C).

Mayor Bates represents Alameda County as a Commissioner of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. Council member Worthington is the City's representative on the ACCMA Board. The ACCMA Technical Advisory Committee representative is Matt Nichols, Public Works Transportation Division.

The ACCMA Board will consider the CTP as a whole. The proposed Tier 1 and Tier 2 fund budget is approximately:

- Tier 1: \$258 million for ACCMA Board-designated High Priority Projects
- Tier 2: \$233 million in Public Transit Account funds
- Tier 2: \$632 million for the total Planning Area budget, including \$262 million in funds for Planning Area 1.

The ACCMA Board is scheduled to decide on the programming of the High-Priority Projects in the CTP at their February 1, 2008 board meeting. High-Priority projects typically receive preference in grant and fund allocation. The CMA will also consider planning of Tier 3, which includes more restricted bond funds and toll revenue, and Tier 4, for which no specific funding source has been identified.

There is no formula and estimate of exactly how much funding gets programmed to any particular agencies such as the City. For reference, the current 2004 CTP includes four City of Berkeley projects, totaling \$15.2 million:

- Gilman/I-80 Interchange: \$1.5 million.
- Ashby BART/Ed Roberts Campus: \$5.2 million

- Downtown BART Plaza: \$5 million
- University Avenue Transit Enhancements: \$3.5 million

It should be noted that the CTP/RTP also includes many projects that greatly impact Berkeley, including local streets and roads maintenance, freeway management, SMART corridor development, and AC Transit and BART services and projects.

### BACKGROUND

As the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area, MTC is mandated by the federal government to draw up a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) every four years to address regional transportation needs. The RTP provides a framework for the future development of the regional transportation system.

Pursuant to State law, the ACCMA responsible for preparing a transportation plan identifying goals, needs and investment priorities in the form of the Countywide Transportation Plan. Projects and programs adopted in Countywide Transportation Plan are eligible for inclusion in the RTP project lists. These planning efforts are proceeding on similar timelines that will conclude in late 2008 and early 2009, respectively, with plan adoptions.

The ACCMA has already held several Committee and Board meetings on the topic. The CMA will be working to complete the Applications/Investment Plan, including the designation of High-Priority Projects (Tier 1) and the local Project and Programs list (Tiers 2, 3 and 4) by February 28, 2008.

MTC, working with the Countywide Transportation Plans, and in conjunction with the Association of Bay Area Governments, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District and the Bay Conservation and Development Commission, will prepare the next update to the RTP, which is also called *Transportation 2035: Change in Motion*.

To qualify for State or federal funding, all Bay Area transportation projects — whether for transit, highways, local streets, airports, seaports, railroads or bicycle/pedestrian facilities — must be included in the RTP. Federal law requires the RTP to be “financially constrained,” or based on a realistic forecast of future revenues. It must also be consistent with federal clean air requirements, and the projects, taken as a whole, must not worsen regional air quality.

Much of the expected funds in the region will need to be directed to the ongoing operation, management, maintenance and rehabilitation of the region’s transportation infrastructure and services already in place. New projects will compete for scarce resources, unless new programs such as congestion pricing or carbon taxes generate significant unanticipated revenue.

All projects competing for State and federal funds are reviewed by MTC as part of the preparation of the RTP. Projects must be derived from or included in an adopted planning document or funding category such as a Countywide Transportation Plan, Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan, Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Plan, Regional Rail Plan, or Community-Based Transportation Plan. No transportation project in the region can qualify for State or federal money unless it is found to be consistent with regional goals, and thus included in the final RTP.

#### RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

To be competitive and eligible for transportation funds the City must submit projects to ACCMA for adoption in the 2008 CTP by February 15, 2008. Upon adoption, the ACCMA will submit the CTP to MTC's RTP for consideration in the 2009 update by March 5, 2008.

Exhibit A recommends project rankings, funding requests, brief project descriptions, and funding plans. Staff recommendations were developed with input from relevant City departments and to conform with adopted and draft City Plans, and with FY2008 and FY 2009 Council Critical Initiatives and Special Projects. The proposed list attempts to strike a balance of funding for multiple transportation modes, as directed by the General Plan Transportation Priorities.

In addition, staff and Transportation Commission recommend that Council state their support of the project proposals of other agencies:

- AC Transit - Shattuck/Alameda Corridor Improvements
- AC Transit - College/University/Broadway Corridor Improvements.
- BART – Station Access Projects, Planning Area 1 (incl. bike/ped improvements, intermodal facilities)

#### ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED

The City's Transportation Commission reviewed a previous draft of the staff recommendations on January 17, 2008. After significant deliberation, the Commission resolved to submit to Council an alternative Projects List (Exhibit B). The Commission Project List does not include any new projects, but deletes, reorders and revises the Project List.

#### CONTACT PERSON

Matt Nichols, Principal Transportation Planner, Public Works, 510-981-7065  
Claudette Ford, Director, Public Works, 510-981-6300

Attachments:

1: Resolution

Exhibit A: Staff Project List Recommendations

Exhibit B: Transportation Commission Project List Recommendations

Exhibit C: Fall 2007 Draft List of Projects, Planning Area 1

RESOLUTION NO. ##,###-N.S.

CITY OF BERKELEY PROJECTS/PROGRAMS SUBMITTAL TO 2008 ALAMEDA COUNTYWIDE TRANSPORTATION PLAN AND 2009 UPDATE OF REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN 2035

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, as the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area, is mandated by the federal government to draw up a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) every four years to address the region's transportation needs, with the next Plan update due in 2009; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to state law, the Alameda County Congestion Management Agency is responsible for preparing a transportation plan identifying goals, needs and investment priorities in the form of the Countywide Transportation Plan (CTP), whose projects and programs are eligible for inclusion on the RTP project lists, with the next CTP update scheduled to be completed in 2008; and

WHEREAS, the City must submit Projects/Programs to Alameda County Congestion Management Agency for adoption in the 2008 Countywide Transportation Plan by February 15, 2008 in order to be competitive and eligible for transportation funds; and

WHEREAS, upon adoption, the Alameda County Congestion Management Agency will submit the Countywide Transportation Plan to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission update by March 5, 2008 for consideration in the 2009 update of Regional Transportation Plan 2035; and

WHEREAS, City of Berkeley desires to submit the Projects/Programs List for inclusion in the 2008 Countywide Transportation Plan and Regional Transportation Plan 2035; and

WHEREAS, the City Manager provided staff recommendations of a Project/Program List for Council consideration; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Commission reviewed staff recommendations on January 17, 2008, and resolved to advise Council to adopt a revised Project/Program List.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Berkeley authorizes the City Manager to submit a prioritized and financially constrained list of Projects and Programs, consistent with City Council direction, as advised by the Transportation Commission, to the 2008 Alameda Countywide Transportation Plan, which, upon adoption, will be submitted to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission's 2009 Regional Transportation Plan 2035.

Exhibit A: City Council-approved 2008 Countywide Transportation Plan Project/Program List



Exhibit A: Staff Project List Recommendations							
Draft City of Berkeley Projects for the Regional Transportation 2035 Plan							
Staff Recommended Priority	Transportation Commission Priority	Sponsor	Project Description	Project Cost (\$ in millions)	Funding Request (\$ in millions)	Funding Plan for remaining amount	
Transportation Commission recommendations in (parenthesis) when different from staffs.							
1	1	City of Berkeley	Gilman I-80 Interchange Improvements	7	5.5	Council Special Project #224 Project Study Report underway (completion required for STIP funds)	\$1.2M SAFETEA-LU Earmark, \$0.3 Local match
2	2	City of Berkeley	Transit-Oriented Development Infrastructure	5.9	5	Special Project #995: BART Downtown Improvements Plan Project Study Report underway (completion required for STIP funds) Critical Initiative #1080: Downtown Area Plan (draft) Special Project #925: Pedestrian Plan (draft) Special Project #1011: South Berkeley Plan (draft)	Workforce Housing \$150K TLC Planning Grant \$90K (completed)
3	3	City of Berkeley	Streetscape & Pedestrian Improvements	38	6	Special Project #925: Pedestrian Plan [20-yr Cost (est.):\$25M; \$13M unidentified] Departmental Initiative #30: ADA Transition Plan Departmental Initiative #922: University Avenue Strategic Plan Special Project #1037: San Pablo Ave Public Improvements Plan (est. \$11M) South & West Berkeley Community Based Transportation Plan (est. \$1.5M capital) Departmental Initiative #933: Audible Pedestrian Signal Program Departmental Initiative #930: Disabled Ramp Treatments Departmental Initiative #1046: Sidewalk Makesafe Program	Est. \$250K/yr Measure B Bike \$60K/yr TDA Article 3 \$275K/yr General Fund (Sidewalk, ADA)
4	4	City of Berkeley	Bicycle Plan Implementation	12.4	6	Berkeley Bicycle Plan, adopted 2000. Departmental Initiative #928: Bikesation Special Project #512: 9th St. Bicycle Blvd. Countywide Bicycle Plan, adopted 2006. CMA recommends Countywide Bicycle Plan High- Priority and/or Financially Constrained Projects only.	Local funds: \$125K (\$5.6M over 20 yrs from Measure B), TDA3, General Fund

**Draft City of Berkeley Projects for the Regional Transportation 2035 Plan**

Staff Recommended Priority	Transportation Commission Priority	Sponsor	Project Description	Project Cost (\$ in millions)	Funding Request (\$ in millions)	Comments	Funding Plan for remaining amount
5	6	City of Berkeley	Ashby I-80 Interchange/Aquatic Park Access Improvements	2	2 (1)	Council Special Project #549: I-80 Ashby Interchange Study Special Project #1040: Aquatic Park Connection In light of a likely reconsideration of Emeryville's development of the Ashby I-80 Interchange Project, Berkeley proposes developing local streetscape and minor interchange improvements in the Aquatic Park/Ashby/I-80 connection area.	Planning and Conceptual Design Phase
6	5	City of Berkeley	Berkeley Parking Pricing Program	11	3	MTC has stated that <b>Pricing and Focused Growth</b> are the top strategies (along with Infrastructure) to achieve RTP goals. Berkeley worked with MTC to develop a downtown Parking Pricing program. Transportation Commission recommends that increases in parking revenues be directed to Transportation Demand Management (bike, pedestrian, or transit projects) and parking benefit districts. Departmental Initiative #918: Off-Street Parking Sign Program	Citywide conversion to Pay/Display Parking Pricing Program (inc. TDM) Dynamic Parking Signage Local funds: Meter revenue
7	7	City of Berkeley	Berkeley Bay Trail Extension: Marina	13.1	2	The ACCMA Board has discussed designation of a "signature" biked/ped investment as a High-Priority Project in the CTP. The CMA's Countywide Bicycle Plan includes its own list of High-Priority Projects. Critical Initiative #4 - Southside Plan Implementation Critical Initiative #1080 - Downtown Plan Critical Initiative #1041 - West Berkeley Circulation Master Plan Departmental Initiative #936: Traffic Signal Priorities	Marina Spur, Phase 1 <b>Include as CTP High Priority Project?</b>
8	8	City of Berkeley	Local Road Network Improvements	11	5.5		Potential local funds: AC Transit BRT, UC LRDP
9	DELETED	City of Berkeley	I-80 Soundwall	6	6 (0)	Special Project #119: Aquatic Park Sound Barrier	
10	9	City of Berkeley	Railroad Crossing Improvements	16.3	2	Special Project #1064: Railroad Expansion Policy Issues Gilman grade separation (\$15.8M, Addison crossing improvements (\$0.5M))	Proposed \$2M will enable planning/design, not capital. Potential other funders: UPRR, Port of Oakland, US DOT
11	DELETED	City of Berkeley	Berkeley Ferry Terminal	35	1 (0)	Departmental Initiative #923: Water Transit Authority Berkeley Ferry Site	Potential other funds: Regional Measure 2, Water Emergency Transit Authority
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>157.7</b>	<b>44 (36)</b>		

## Exhibit B: Transportation Commission Project List Recommendations

### City of Berkeley Transportation Commission Countywide and Regional Transportation Plan Project List Recommendations

On January 17, 2008, after consideration of staff recommendations for Projects, funding requests and project priorities to be submitted to the Alameda County Congestion Management Agency's 2008 Countywide Transportation Plan, the Transportation Commission took the following action:

It was MSC (Wrenn/Greenhut) Unanimous to recommend that Council submit the proposed list of City of Berkeley Priority Projects, priorities, and funding requests for the Regional Transportation 2035 Plan, as follows:

Berkeley					
Priority	Sponsor	Project Description	Project Cost \$ in million	Funding requested in \$million	Comments (See Staff projects, funding sources)
1	COB	Gilman I-80 Interchange Improvements	7	5.5	
2	COB	Downtown Berkeley TOD Infrastructure	5.9	5	
3	COB	Streetscape & Pedestrian Improvements	38	6	
4	COB	Bicycle Plan Implementation			Add: Bike Station 9 <sup>th</sup> Street connection
5	COB	Citywide Value-Pricing Parking Program	11	3	*see condition
6	COB	Ashby I-80 Interchange/Aquatic Park Access Improvements	2	1	
7	COB	Bay Trail Berkeley	13.1	2	
8	COB	Road Network Improvements	11	5.5	
9	COB	Railroad Crossing Improvements	16.3	2	

\*The Transportation Commission also recommends Council adopt a condition that all or most of any increase in parking revenues generated by any funding the City receives from the Regional Transportation Plan should be spent on Transportation Demand Management (bike, pedestrian, or transit projects) and parking benefit districts.

The Commission further recommends that the City Council also support CWTP funding for AC Transit-sponsored Projects: Shattuck/Alameda Corridor Improvements and College/University/Broadway Corridor Improvements; and BART: Station Access Projects (Projects which facilitate access to BART stations, incl. bike/ped improvements, intermodal facilities, signage.)

Ayes: Greenhut, Mc Caughrin, Smulka, Syed, Wrenn Noes: None Absent: Alfsen, Gravelle

Fall 2007 Draft List of Projects, Planning Area 1

**Draft List of Projects submitted for the Financially Constrained 2008 CWTP for Planning Area 1**

<b>#</b>	<b>Sponsor</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Project Cost \$ in million</b>	<b>Funding Requested \$ in million</b>	<b>Funding Plan for remaining amount and Comments</b>
<b>Multi-area Projects</b>					
1	AC Transit	Additional buses for Frequent Service Transit Network	88.0	88.0	
2	AC Transit	Speed Protection and Rider Amenities	15.0	15.0	Spot level fixing and infrastructure improvements to improve Bus operations
3	AC Transit	Maintenance Facilities Improvements	88.0	88.0	
4	AC Transit	Transit Safety and Security	36.0	30.6	
5	AC Transit	Zero Emission Bus Enhancements	90.6	90.6	
6	AC Transit	Facility Rehab and Heavy Equip Replacer	88.0	88.0	
7	AC Transit	ITS Projects	66.0	66.0	
8	AC Transit	Greening Bldgs.-Envir. Sustainability	44.0	44.0	
9	AC Transit	Telegraph/International/E. 14th Street BRT	250.0	85.0	Fund requested to be eligible for Federal Small Starts
10	BART	Systems Capacity Enhancement Projects	293.38		Projects which enable the BART system to accommodate more riders. County share of Regional Projects. Examples include train control, traction power, ventilation systems.
		<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>1059.0</b>	<b>595.2</b>	
<b>Planning Area 1</b>					
11	AC Transit	Grand/MacArthur BRT	35.0	6.0	
12	ACCMA	I-80 CMA Project	102.6	39.2	funding shortfall requested
13	AC Transit	Shattuck/Alameda Corridor Improvements	36.0	36.0	
14	AC Transit	College/University/Broadway Corridor Improvements	26.0	26.0	
15	AC Transit	Mandella/Hollis/6th Street Corridor Improvements	17.0	17.0	

Draft List of Projects submitted for the Financially Constrained 2008 CWTP for Planning Area 1					
#	Sponsor	Project Description	Project Cost \$ in million	Funding Requested \$ in million	Funding Plan for remaining amount and Comments
16	BART	Oakland Airport Connector	380.5	25.0	Projects which enable BART stations to accommodate more riders. Examples include new elevators, escalators, stairs or fare equipment. Planning Area 1 share only.
17	BART	Station Capacity Projects	264.0	264.0	Transit Oriented development at BART Stations. Duplicative of local jurisdiction applications.
18	BART	Station Upgrades (including Transit Villages)			Projects which facilitate access to BART stations. Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements, Intermodal Facilities, Signage. Planning Area 1 share.
19	BART	Station Access Projects	94.5	94.5	
20	City of Alameda/ Alameda County	Estuary Bridges Safety Improvements	6.0	1.0	HBP \$5,000,000
21	City of Alameda/ Alameda County	Fruitvale Avenue Railroad Bridge Lifeline Seismic	11.0	10.0	HBP \$1,000,000
22	City of Alameda/ Alameda County	Fruitvale Avenue Roadway Bridge Lifeline Seismic	32.6	21.6	HBP: \$10,000,000 STIP: \$975,000
23	City of Alameda	Water Taxi Vessel Procurement	1.85	1.0	\$350,000 Measure B Bicycle/Pedestrian Discretionary Fund, \$350,000 Regional Bike/Ped Program, \$150,000 TDA
24	City of Alameda	West End's Northern Perimeter Road with Park and Ride Lot: Mitchell to Mariner Square Loop	12.6	0.0	\$12.6 million (\$5.8 million Citywide Development Fee, \$5.8 million State, Local Partnership Program, \$1.0 million CMAQ) (APPEARS COMMITTED)
25	City of Alameda	Willie Stergell (formally Tinker) Avenue Extension	19.5	0.0	\$19.5 million; \$15.5m developer, \$4m STIP (APPEARS COMMITTED)
26	Alameda/Caltrans	I-880 Broadway/Jackson Phase I	200.0	192.5	\$5.7m Measure B; \$2.8m STIP

Draft List of Projects submitted for the Financially Constrained 2008 CWTP for Planning Area 1					
#	Sponsor	Project Description	Project Cost \$ in million	Funding Requested \$ in million	Funding Plan for remaining amount and Comments
27	City of Alameda	City of Alameda Ferries Dock and Fueling Facility	2.0	0.0	\$2.0 million (Transit System Safety, Security and Disaster Response Account) (APPEARS COMMITTED)
28	City of Alameda	Extension of Clement Avenue Alameda Point Transit Oriented Development	18.3	12.0	\$6.3 million (\$2.3m Local Streets & Roads State Infrastructure Bond, \$2.0m developer, \$2.0m Trans for Livable Corridor)
29	City of Alameda	City of Alameda East Bay Smart Corridors	6.0	2.0	\$4.0 million: \$1m Regional TOD, \$3m FTA
30	City of Alameda	City of Alameda East Bay Smart Corridors	9.7	TBD	\$0.7 m - TFCA; 0.3 m - RSTP; \$8.7 from Federal CMAQ
31	ACCMA/City of Oakland	I-880: 23rd and 29th Ave Operational Improvements	95.0	78.0	Secured RM2, Federal Earmark and STIP. Intend to pursue additional STIP, federal earmark and I-Bond Trade Corridor funding. Programmed Funds: RM2 - \$10 million; SAFETEA Earmark - \$2 million; State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) - \$5 million
32	City of Albany	Construction Phase of the Buchanan Street Multi-Use Path	1.3	1.04	Funding or grants will be sought at the 80% level. The balance will be covered with local funds, which include Measure F, TDA, Gas Tax.
33	City of Albany	Marin Avenue Reconfiguration (Second Phase)	1	0.2	local Measure F funds in the amount of \$800,000 are allocated for FY08/09.
34	City of Albany	Cerrito Creek/Pierce Street Bicycle Path Cl 1	1.5	0.77	Remaining funding- LS&R-\$0.51, LS&R-\$0.18, CDBG-0.37
35	City of Berkeley	Ashby BART/Ed Roberts Campus	18	5.9	FTA 3.7, Lifeline 1.4, TLC-CMA 1.2, TLC-MTC 2.1, TCSP 0.3, ACTIA 0.5, SR2T 0.3, STIP Aug 2006 2.6
36	City of Berkeley	Gilman I-80 Interchange	6	4.5	1.5M SAFETEA-LU Earmark, 0.3 Local unknown: Goods Movement funding, Port of Oakland, UP?
37	City of Berkeley	Gilman RRR Grade Separation South & West Berkeley Community-Based Transportation Plan	16.3	16.3	TBD. Includes AC Transit & BART frequency improvements
38	City of Berkeley	Implementation	51.2	51.2	TBD. Includes AC Transit & BART frequency improvements

Draft List of Projects submitted for the Financially Constrained 2008 CWTP for Planning Area 1					
#	Sponsor	Project Description	Project Cost \$ in million	Funding Requested \$ in million	Funding Plan for remaining amount and Comments
39	City of Berkeley	Downtown Berkeley TOD Infrastructure	6.4	5	TLC Planning 75K, HIP 543K, Workforce Housing 150K, local 650K
40	City of Berkeley	Pedestrian Plan Implementation	25.5	17.4	Measure B, TDA3, General Fund
41	City of Berkeley	Bicycle Plan Implementation	12.4	5.9	\$6.6M over 20 yrs from Measure B, TDA3, General Fund
42	City of Berkeley	Bike Bridge Touchdown Plaza & Access Improvements	1.4	1.4	TBD
43	City of Berkeley	Berkeley Ferry Terminal	35	10	Regional Measure 2, Water Transit Authority
44	City of Berkeley	Ashby I-80 Interchange Improvements	2	2	
45	City of Berkeley	San Pablo TOD Streetscape Enhancements	11.35	11.35	San Pablo Ave Public Improvements Plan
46	City of Berkeley	Downtown/Southside Complete Streets Road Network Improvements	11	11	UC LRDP mitigation, AC Transit BRT, local funds TBD
47	Caltrans	Park and Ride Lot Improvements	0.4	0.4	
48	Caltrans	Bike Lockers at Park and Ride Stations	0.08	0.08	
49	Caltrans	Truck Parking	30.0	5.0	
50	Caltrans	Ramp Metering on I-580 from SR 13 to Foothill Blvd	1.3	1.3	
51	Caltrans	TOS on I-980	1.9	1.9	
52	Caltrans	TOS on SR 24	3.2	3.2	
53	Caltrans	Ramp Metering on I-980 and SR 24 from I-880 to Broadway	5.25	5.25	
54	Caltrans	NB I-880 HOV Oakland portion (CCFS project)	52	52	

Draft List of Projects submitted for the Financially Constrained 2008 CWTP for Planning Area 1					
#	Sponsor	Project Description	Project Cost \$ in million	Funding Requested \$ in million	Funding Plan for remaining amount and Comments
55	Caltrans	I-880 North Improvements: - I-880 SB & 66th/Hegenberger Auxiliary Lanes	5	5	
56	City of Emeryville	65 <sup>th</sup> Street Bike / Pedestrian Bridge at	12.0	3.0	City will seek grants for the remaining funds
57	City of Emeryville	I-80 Eastbound off-ramp at Powell Street	1.7	1.5	Local Share \$200,000 (for PSR, Design)
58	City of Emeryville	Powell Street Bridge Widening at Christi Avenue	1.9	1.7	Local Share \$250,000 (for design and inspection
59	City of Emeryville	South Bayfront Pedestrian Bridge	10.5	2.5	\$8.0 m in City funds
60	City of Emeryville	Ashby/Shellmound I-80 Interchange	35.0	2.5	Local Share \$300,000
61	City of Oakland	Coliseum BART Transit Village	35.0	17.0	Funds for \$18 m identified from various sources.
62	City of Oakland	Lake Merritt Improvements	70.0	20.0	\$50m local bond Measure DD
63	City of Oakland	West Oakland BART Village - 7th Street Corridor	36.5	25.0	Programmed Funding: MTC STP TLC Program \$1.9m; 2006 STIP \$1.3m; ACTIA \$218,500; City of Oakland - West Oakland; Redevelopment Fund - \$340,000
64	City of Oakland	MacArthur Transit Village	30.3	15.0	Funding requested for transportation infrastructure improvements and land acquisition only. Remaining funding: \$0.5 CMA TIP Funds; \$12.0m Redevelopment Agency; \$1.5m Private Development Funds; \$1.0 CMA TLC STIP TE Funds; \$0.3 SAFETY-LU Earmark
65	City of Oakland	Oakland Army Base Street Reconstruction & Bay Trail Extension	30.1	15.0	\$15.1 million includes 0.1m local, \$3.0 EDA; \$10 million Private Investor; \$5 million Redevelopment Funds
66	City of Oakland	Fruitvale Transit Village, Phase II	10.0	5.0	Source for \$5.0m remaining funding TBD
67	City of Oakland	Oakland Citywide ITS, Phase I	22.3	21.9	Programmed - \$0.35m (STIP)
68	City of Oakland	I-880 42nd Ave & High St Access Improvements	24.9	19.1	Programmed Funds: \$5.89m. Out of \$19.05 requested \$1.9m from 2006 STIP augmentation
69	City of Oakland	Streetscapes - TLC projects	TBD	14	specific projects need to be determined from the vision applications

Draft List of Projects submitted for the Financially Constrained 2008 CWTP for Planning Area 1					
#	Sponsor	Project Description	Project Cost \$ in million	Funding Requested \$ in million	Funding Plan for remaining amount and Comments
70	City of Oakland	Local Street and Road Rehab	NA	50	
71	City of Piedmont	Addition of Bike Lanes and Congestio	1.2	1.0	Adding bicycle lanes and implementing congestion relief practices as part of the City of Piedmont's current civic master plan.
72	City of Piedmont	Comprehensive City Street Upgrades	0.5	0.5	Repairs and upgrades for improving vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle mobility
73	Port of Oakland	7th Street Grade Separation and Roadway Improvement Project	350	125	\$164 million (Port, 2008 STIP, Federal reauthorization)
74	Port of Oakland	Outer Harbor Intermodal Terminal	450	162.5	I-bond air quality: \$62.5 million; Other: \$225 million (Port, 2008 STIP, Federal reauthorization)
75	Port of Oakland	Martinez Subdivision Rail Improvements	900	150	\$300 million is first phase work. (Alameda County share of first phase work: \$225 million, or 75%); Other \$150 million (Railroads and other sources TBD)
76	Port of Oakland	Donner Summit Rail Improvements	90	45	\$45 million (Union Pacific and possibly other sources TBD)
77	Port of Oakland	Tehachapi Trade Corridor Rail Improvements	82	41	\$41 million (BNSF Railway)
78	Port of Oakland	North Airport Air Cargo Access Road Improvements, Ph 1	10	5	\$5 million (Port)
		<b>Sub-total for PA 1 only projects</b>	<b>3873.6</b>	<b>1787.1</b>	
		<b>Grand total (including multi-area projects)</b>	<b>4932.5</b>	<b>2382.3</b>	

